

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/714,040	11/15/2000	Paul J. Carter	P0710P1D1 5212	
7590 03/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Katherine Kowalchyk			YU, MISOOK	
P O Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/714,040	CARTER, PAUL J.			
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 October 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>25,28 and 29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: <u>IDS filed on 11/15/2000</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
*	ARRY R. HELMS, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER	Misook Yu, 3/29/2004			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that one of skill in the art reading the specification would be able to make a F(ab')2 as claimed without undue experimentation because applicant have described at least one method a F(ab')2 in the specification including a working example. The specification at page 14, line 7 to page 16, line 3, describes a detailed construction of vector for producing F(ab'), and method for producing F(ab')2 by chemical coupling with (Fab')-TNB.

These arguments have been fully considered but found unpersuasive because applicant argues with limitations not present in the claims. When the claims are construed with the limitations applicant now argues with, then the rejection will be withdrawn. Until then the rejection of record stands.